arXiv:1408.3360v1 [math.RT] 14 Aug 2014

On the crystalline cohomology of Deligne-Lusztig varieties

Elmar Grosse-Klönne

Abstract

Let $X \to Y^0$ be an abelian prime-to-p Galois covering of smooth schemes over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let Y be a smooth compactification of Y^0 such that $Y - Y^0$ is a normal crossings divisor on Y. We describe a logarithmic F-crystal on Y whose rational crystalline cohomology is the rigid cohomology of X, in particular provides a natural $W[F]$-lattice inside the latter; here W is the Witt vector ring of k. If a finite group G acts compatibly on X, Y^0 and Y then our construction is G-equivariant. As an example we apply it to Deligne-Lusztig varieties. For a finite field k, if $\mathbb{G}$ is a connected reductive algebraic group defined over k and $\mathbb{L}$ a k-rational torus satisfying a certain standard condition, we obtain a meaningful equivariant $W[F]$-lattice in the cohomology (ℓ-adic or rigid) of the corresponding Deligne-Lusztig variety and an expression of its reduction modulo p in terms of equivariant Hodge cohomology groups.

Introduction

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$, let W be its Witt vector ring and let $K = \mathrm{Quot}(W)$. One of the specific interests in p-adic cohomology theories for k-varieties, as opposed to ℓ-adic étale cohomology ($\ell \neq p$), lies in the hope to construct $W[F]$-lattices (i.e. W-lattices stable under the action of Frobenius) in the cohomology and to explicitly describe the Frobenius action on them; typically an estimate of the slopes of Frobenius on the cohomology should be given in terms of Hodge cohomology groups of proper smooth k-varieties. Rigid cohomology, as it stands, is a K-vector space valued p-adic cohomology theory and does not come, a priori, with natural $W[F]$-lattices *whose reduction modulo p one could control*. If a k-scheme X is the open complement of a smooth divisor with normal crossings on a proper smooth k-scheme $\overline{X}$ then its rigid cohomology $H^*_{rig}(X)$ can be computed as the rational logarithmic crystalline cohomology of $\overline{X}$ (with logarithmic poles along $\overline{X} - X$), and in this way one indeed gets a meaningful $W[F]$-lattice. However, for general smooth X such $\overline{X}$ may not exist or may not be naturally at hand. Our first purpose here is to provide a computable $W[F]$-lattice in

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14F30, 20G40

Key words and phrases. Deligne-Lusztig variety, rigid cohomology, log crystalline cohomology

To look at the rigid cohomology of Deligne-Lusztig varieties was suggested to me by Adrian Iovita; useful hints I received from Marc Cabanes, Bernard Le Stum, Fabien Trihan, Sascha Orlik and Matthias Strauch: thanks to them all.

$H^*_{rig}(X)$ in the case where X admits an abelian prime-to-p cover $f : X \to Y^0$ to a k-scheme Y^0 which is the open complement of a smooth divisor with normal crossings on a proper smooth k-scheme Y. Namely, we construct an explicit logarithmic F-crystal E on Y whose rational logarithmic crystalline cohomology $H^*_{crys}(E, Y/W) \otimes_W K$ is identified with $H^*_{rig}(X)$; thus (the image of) $H^*_{crys}(E, Y/W)$ is a $W[F]$-lattice in $H^*_{rig}(X)$. If a finite group G acts compatibly on X, Y and Y^0 than it also acts on E and hence on $H^*_{crys}(E, Y/W)$.

The mere existence of a logarithmic F-crystal E on Y as above should certainly be expected for more general *tamely ramified* coverings $f : X \to Y^0$ than just abelian prime-to-p coverings f. However, our point here is that if f is abelian prime-to-p we can *explicitly describe* E. This should be of algorithmic interest: for example in view of the scenario described below, but we can also conceive applications to point counting algorithms for k-varieties if k is finite.

Our second purpose is to apply our construction to Deligne-Lusztig varieties. Let now k be a finite field and let $\overline{k}$ denote an algebraic closure. Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a connected reductive algebraic group over $\overline{k}$, defined over k, and let $\mathbb{L}$ be a k-rational Levi subgroup of $\mathbb{G}$. A construction due to Deligne and Lusztig [6] associates to any parabolic subgroup $\mathbb{P}$ of $\mathbb{G}$ with $\mathbb{L}$ as Levi subgroup a smooth algebraic variety X over $\overline{k}$, endowed with an action of $\mathbb{G}(k) \times \mathbb{L}(k)^{opp}$, commonly referred to as the corresponding Deligne-Lusztig variety (the pioneering paper [6] deals with the case where $\mathbb{L}$ is a k-rational maximal torus). The ℓ-adic étale cohomology with compact support ($\ell \neq p$), viewed as a virtual $\mathbb{G}(k) \times \mathbb{L}(k)^{opp}$-representation, plays a central role for the classification of all representations (in characteristic zero) of the finite group $\mathbb{G}(k)$, by now a broad and successful branch of research. The techniques developed also proved useful for the study of the representation theory of $\mathbb{G}(k)$ on vector spaces over fields of positive characteristic $\ell \neq p$ (see [4]).

Our initial observation here is that instead of ℓ-adic étale cohomology with compact support one may equally work with the rigid cohomology with compact support of X: the resulting virtual $\mathbb{G}(k) \times \mathbb{L}(k)^{opp}$-representations are the same (upon identifying the respective characteristic-zero-coefficient fields) as for ℓ-adic étale cohomology with compact support. If more specifically $\mathbb{L}$ is a torus satisfying a certain standard condition then it is known that X can be realized as a $\mathbb{G}(k)$-equivariant Galois covering $f : X \to Y^0$ in such a way that our $\mathbb{L}(k)$ acting on X becomes the Galois group for this covering, and moreover such that Y^0 is the open complement of a $\mathbb{G}(k)$-stable smooth divisor with normal crossings on a proper smooth k-scheme Y with $\mathbb{G}(k)$-action. As a result our construction provides equivariant $W(\overline{k})[F]$-lattices in the cohomology of X (with $W(\overline{k})$ the Witt vector ring of $\overline{k}$). In particular we obtain a geometric and explicit construction of the reduction modulo p (as virtual $\overline{k}[\mathbb{G}(k)]$-modules) of the Deligne-Lusztig characters in terms of Hodge cohomology groups of equivariant vector bundles on Y. In fact, X, Y^0 and Y are defined over k and we actually get $W[F]$-lattices.

We briefly survey the content of each section. In section 1 we describe the logarithmic F-crystal E on Y mentioned at the beginning in the case where X is obtained from the smooth k-variety Y^0 by adjoining a prime-to-p root of a global invertible section of $\mathcal{O}_{Y^0}$ (the general case of a prime-to-p abelian covering f easily reduces to this one). As a logarithmic crystal, E

naturally decomposes into a direct sum of rank one logarithmic crystals $E(j)$, and if a finite group G acts on X, Y and Y^0 then the resulting action on E respects this sum decomposition and is given on each $E(j)$ by suitable automorphy factors. In section 2 we analyse the overconvergent F-isocrystal $E^\dagger$ on Y^0 which is the push forward via f of the constant F-isocrystal on X. We see that $E^\dagger$ is associated to E by the general construction described in [17] and from this we conclude that $H^*_{crys}(E, Y/W) \otimes_W K = H^*_{rig}(X)$. Let $H^*_{rig}(X)_j$ be the direct summand of $H^*_{rig}(X)$ corresponding to the direct summand $E(j)$ of E. Using the general reduction-modulo-p principle for crystalline cohomology from [12] we show that the reduction modulo p of the virtual $K[G^{opp}]$-module $\sum_s (-1)^s H^s_{rig}(X)_j$ coincides with

$$\sum_s (-1)^s H^s(Y, \Omega^\bullet_Y \otimes \overline{E}(j))$$

where $\Omega^\bullet_Y \otimes \overline{E}(j)$ denotes the logarithmic de Rham complex of the reduction modulo p of $E(j)$. An equivalent expression of this virtual $k[G^{opp}]$-module is in terms of Hodge cohomology groups for explicit equivariant vector bundles on Y. We also compare the rigid cohomology with the rigid cohomology with compact support. In section 3 we prove that for general Deligne-Lusztig varieties the ℓ-adic étale cohomology coincides with the rigid cohomology (as virtual representations); the key argument is taken from the proof of the independence-of-ℓ-result in [6]. In section 4 we look at Deligne-Lusztig varieties for $\mathbb{G} = \mathrm{GL}_{d+1}$ (some $d \geq 1$) and with $\mathbb{L}$ a maximally non-split k-rational torus such that $\mathbb{L}(k) = \mathbb{F}^\times_{q^{d+1}}$. Particular attention is paid to the direct summand E_0 of E cut out by the trivial character of $\mathbb{L}(k) = \mathbb{F}^\times_{q^{d+1}}$: the reduction modulo p of its cohomology was completely determined in [11].

Let us mention that in the case $\mathbb{G} = \mathrm{SL}_2$ much more substantial results have been obtained by Haastert and Jantzen [13]; they examined the crystalline cohomology of a smooth compactification of the curve X itself, a method not available in higher dimensions. We hope that the present paper can be a starting point for a full generalization of the results from [13]. For general $\mathbb{G}$ and *tori* $\mathbb{L}$ the reductions modulo p of the characters of Deligne and Lusztig have been analysed in terms of Weyl modules in [14].

Notations: For a real number $r \in \mathbb{R}$ we define $\lfloor r \rfloor \in \mathbb{Z}$ as the integer satisfying $\lfloor r \rfloor \leq r < \lfloor r \rfloor + 1$. For the definition of the rigid cohomology of schemes of finite type over a perfect field k with $\mathrm{char}(k) > 0$ we refer to [2]. As coefficient field of the rigid cohomology of a k-scheme we generally use the fraction field K of the ring of Witt vectors W with coefficients in k. We denote by σ the Frobenius endomorphism (p-power map) of k, and also the functorially induced endomorphisms of W and K. For log crystalline cohomology we refer to [15].

For a polynomial ring $W[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ in finitely many variables over W, Monsky and Washnitzer defined the weak formal completion $W[X_1, \ldots, X_n]^\dagger$ as a subalgebra of the p-adic completion $W[X_1, \ldots, X_n]\widehat{\ }$ of $W[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$. While the elements of $W[X_1, \ldots, X_n]\widehat{\ }$ are those power series converging on the closed unit polydisk, the elements of $W[X_1, \ldots, X_n]^\dagger$ are characterized as those power series satisfying a certain overconvergence condition. To a quotient algebra $A = W[X_1, \ldots, X_n]^\dagger / I$ (for an ideal $I \subset W[X_1, \ldots, X_n]^\dagger$), Meredith [16] associated a locally

ringed space $\mathrm{Spwf}(A)$, an affine weak formal scheme. Its underlying topological space is just that of $\mathrm{Spec}(A \otimes_W k)$, its ring of global functions is A. A morphism of affine weak formal W-schemes $\mathrm{Spwf}(A) \to \mathrm{Spwf}(B)$ is smooth (resp. étale) if it is flat and if the induced morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(A) \to \mathrm{Spec}(B)$ is smooth (resp. étale).

For an affine weak formal scheme $\mathrm{Spwf}(A)$ the K-algebra $A \otimes_W K$ is a K-dagger algebra in the sense of [10] so that we may form the affinoid K-dagger space $\mathrm{Sp}(A \otimes_W K)$. More globally, Meredith [16] defines weak formal W-schemes as locally ringed spaces which locally look like affine weak formal schemes. To a weak formal W-scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ one may associate a "generic fibre" $\mathfrak{X}_K$, a K-dagger space in the sense of [10]. There is a specialization map

$$sp : \mathfrak{X}_K \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}$$

in the category of ringed (Grothendieck topological) spaces. The situation is completely parallel to that of formal W-schemes (of finite type) and K-rigid spaces.

For a flat affine weak formal W-scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ with special fibre the smooth affine k-scheme X the rigid cohomology $H^*_{rig}(X)$ of X (as defined by Berthelot, see [1], [2]) is the same as the de Rham cohomology of the generic fibre $\mathfrak{X}_K$ (as an affinoid K-dagger space) of $\mathfrak{X}$ (see [10] for this comparison isomorphism).

Let $\mathfrak{U}$ be a smooth formal W-scheme. We say that a closed formal subscheme $\mathfrak{D}$ of $\mathfrak{U}$ is a divisor with normal crossings relative to $\mathrm{Spf}(W)$ if étale locally on $\mathfrak{U}$ the embedding of formal W-schemes $\mathfrak{D} \to \mathfrak{U}$ takes the form

$$\mathrm{Spf}(W[X_1, \ldots, X_n]\widehat{\ }/(X_1 \cdots X_r)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(W[X_1, \ldots, X_n]\widehat{\ })$$

for some $1 \leq r \leq n$. We call $\mathfrak{D}$ a prime divisor if we can choose $r = 1$. Given a finite sum $G = \sum_V b_V V$ with $b_V \in \mathbb{Z}$ and with prime divisors V on $\mathfrak{U}$ as above, we define the invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}$-module

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}(G) = \bigotimes_V \mathfrak{J}_V^{-b_V}$$

where $\mathfrak{J}_V \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}$ is the ideal sheaf of V in $\mathfrak{U}$, an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}$-module.

1 Equivariant F-Crystals

Let k, W and K be as in the introduction. Let Y denote a smooth proper k-scheme, $Y^0 \subset Y$ an open dense subscheme such that $D = Y - Y^0$ is a normal crossings divisor on Y and $f : X \to Y^0$ a finite étale morphism of k-schemes. We suppose that a finite group G acts compatibly (from the left) on Y, Y^0 and X and that f has the following form. There is a $t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(p, t) = 1$ and a unit $\Pi \in \Gamma(Y^0, \mathcal{O}_{Y^0})$ such that for all $g \in G$ there is an automorphy factor $\gamma_g \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{L}_Y(D))$ with $\Pi / g(\Pi) = \gamma_g^t$. We require that via f we may identify

$$X = \underline{\mathrm{Spec}}(\mathcal{O}_{Y^0}[\Xi]/(1 - \Xi^t \Pi))$$

in such a way that the lifting of the action of G from Y^0 to X is given by $g(\Xi) = \gamma_g \Xi$ for $g \in G$. (For clarification: Spec signifies *relative* Spec; we do not require that Y^0 or X be affine.)

We endow Y and hence its open subschemes with the log structure associated to the normal crossings divisor D, and we endow $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ with the trivial log structure; thus $Y \to \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ is log smooth. In this section we describe a G-equivariant logarithmic F-crystal E on Y which in the next section will be used to compute the rigid cohomology $H^*_{rig}(X)$ of X (with its G-action).

First some more notations in the characteristic-p-situation. We denote by $\mathcal{V}$ the set of irreducible components of D (so its elements are prime divisors on Y). Let $\mathrm{div}(\Pi)$ denote the pole-zero divisor of Π on Y: by definition this is the minimal divisor (in the usual partial ordering on the set of divisors on Y) with $\Pi \in \mathcal{L}_Y(\mathrm{div}(\Pi))$. For $V \in \mathcal{V}$ we let $\mu_V(\Pi) \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote the multiplicity of V in $\mathrm{div}(\Pi)$, i.e. $\mathrm{div}(\Pi) = \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \mu_V(\Pi) V$. For $0 \leq j \leq t-1$ let

$$b_{V,j} = \lfloor j t^{-1} \mu_V(\Pi) \rfloor$$

and define the divisor $D(j)$ on Y as

$$D(j) = \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} b_{V,j} V.$$

For $0 \leq j \leq t-1$ we define $0 \leq \nu(j) \leq t-1$ and $\mu(j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by requiring

$$pj = \nu(j) + \mu(j) t. \tag{1}$$

Note that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$p \lfloor j m t^{-1} \rfloor - \mu(j) m \leq \lfloor \nu(j) m t^{-1} \rfloor.$$

Applied to the numbers $m = \mu_V(\Pi)$ we therefore get

$$pD(j) - \mu(j)\mathrm{div}(\Pi) \leq D(\nu(j)) \tag{2}$$

(in the usual partial ordering on the set of divisors on Y).

Now we begin to look at liftings to characteristic 0.

Definition: A *local lifting datum* is a set of data $(U, \mathfrak{U}, D_{\mathfrak{U}}, \widetilde{\Pi}, \Phi)$ as follows. U is an open subscheme of Y and $\mathfrak{U}$ is a lifting of U to a smooth formal W-scheme. $D_{\mathfrak{U}}$ is a normal crossings divisor (relative to $\mathrm{Spf}(W)$) on $\mathfrak{U}$ which lifts the normal crossings divisor $D \cap U$ on U. We have $\widetilde{\Pi} \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(n D_{\mathfrak{U}}))$ for some (unimportant) $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}$ lifts $\Pi|_U$. Finally, $\Phi : \mathfrak{U} \to \mathfrak{U}$ is an endomorphism lifting the (absolute) Frobenius endomorphism of U, respecting $D_{\mathfrak{U}}$ and such that $\Phi^* : \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}$ restricts to σ on the subring W of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}$.

Lemma 1.1. *Y can be covered by local lifting data.*

PROOF: We may cover Y by open affine subschemes $U = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ which admit charts as follows. There exists an étale morphism of k-schemes

$$\lambda : U = \mathrm{Spec}(A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(k[X_1, \ldots, X_n])$$

such that $D \cap U = \mathrm{Spec}(A/\lambda^*(X_1) \cdots \lambda^*(X_r))$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r$. We may choose a W-algebra $\widetilde{A}$ lifting A together with an étale morphism of formal $\mathrm{Spf}(W)$-schemes

$$\widetilde{\lambda} : \mathrm{Spf}(\widetilde{A}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(W[X_1, \ldots, X_n]\widehat{\ })$$

lifting λ. Put $\mathfrak{U} = \mathrm{Spf}(\widetilde{A})$. Choose elements $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \in \widetilde{A}$ which lift $\lambda^*(X_1), \ldots, \lambda^*(X_r)$. Put

$$D_{\mathfrak{U}} = \mathrm{Spf}(\widetilde{A}/\widetilde{\lambda}(X_1) \cdots \widetilde{\lambda}(X_r)).$$

Now Π is a regular function on Y^0, hence $\Pi \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{L}_Y(nD))$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(nD_{\mathfrak{U}})) \longrightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{L}_U(n(D \cap U)))$$

is surjective we may lift $\Pi|_U \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{L}_U(n(D \cap U)))$ to some $\widetilde{\Pi} \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(nD_{\mathfrak{U}}))$. Finally we define the lifting $\Phi : \mathrm{Spf}(W[X_1, \ldots, X_n]\widehat{\ }) \to \mathrm{Spf}(W[X_1, \ldots, X_n]\widehat{\ })$ of the Frobenius endomorphism of $\mathrm{Spec}([X_1, \ldots, X_n])$ by $\Phi|_W = \sigma$ and $\Phi(X_i) = X_i^p$. Since $\widetilde{\lambda}$ is étale we get a lifting of Frobenius $\Phi : \mathfrak{U} \to \mathfrak{U}$ as desired. □

To define the searched for F-crystal E on Y, we first define its restriction E_U to U, for any open $U \subset Y$ which admits a local lifting datum $(U, \mathfrak{U}, D_{\mathfrak{U}}, \widetilde{\Pi}, \Phi)$: this we can do by giving a locally free $\mathfrak{U}$-module with logarithmic connection and with a Frobenius endomorphism.

Let $(U, \mathfrak{U}, D_{\mathfrak{U}}, \widetilde{\Pi}, \Phi)$ be a local lifting datum. Clearly $\mathfrak{U} \to \mathrm{Spf}(W)$ is a log smooth lifting of $U \to \mathrm{Spec}(k)$. We write $\Omega^\bullet_{\mathfrak{U}}$ for the logarithmic de Rham complex on $\mathfrak{U}$ with logarithmic poles along $D_{\mathfrak{U}}$. For $V \in \mathcal{V}$ we define a closed subscheme $V_{\mathfrak{U}}$ of $\mathfrak{U}$ as follows. If $V \cap U$ is empty we declare $V_{\mathfrak{U}}$ to be empty. Otherwise the prime divisor $V \cap U$ on U lifts to a uniquely determined W-flat closed subscheme $V_{\mathfrak{U}}$ of $D_{\mathfrak{U}}$ (thus $V_{\mathfrak{U}}$ is a prime divisor on $\mathfrak{U}$ relative to $\mathrm{Spf}(W)$). If we are given a divisor on Y of the form $G = \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} b_V V$ with $b_V \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $G_{\mathfrak{U}} = \sum_V b_V V_{\mathfrak{U}}$ defines a lifting of the divisor $G \cap U$ on U. It gives rise to the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(G_{\mathfrak{U}})$ on $\mathfrak{U}(G)$ which by abuse of notation we simply denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(G)$. For example, we abusively write $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j))$ instead of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j)_{\mathfrak{U}})$.

For $0 \leq j \leq t-1$ we define the logarithmic integrable connection

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_j : \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j)) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}} \Omega^1_{\mathfrak{U}}, \\ f \qquad &\mapsto d(f) - jt^{-1} f \mathrm{dlog}(\widetilde{\Pi}) \end{aligned}$$

on the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j))$ on $\mathfrak{U}$. In the proof of 1.2 below (which deals with a more general situation) we will see that the logarithmic integrable connection ∇_j gives rise to an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j)) \cong \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j)) \otimes \mathcal{D}$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ denotes the structure sheaf of the p-adically completed divided power envelope of U in an exactification of the diagonal embedding $U \to \mathfrak{U} \times_W \mathfrak{U}$ (see the proof of 1.2 for what this means). In view of this property we conclude (see [15]) that the module with connection $(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j)), \nabla_j)$ defines a crystal $E_U(j)$ on (the logarithmic crystalline site of) U relative to $\mathrm{Spf}(W)$.

Now comes Frobenius; unlike the connection ∇_j it will jump between the $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j))$ for various j. We define the map

$$F : \Phi^*\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j)) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}},\Phi^*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(pD(j) - \mu(j)\mathrm{div}(\Pi)),$$

$$f \otimes 1 \qquad \mapsto \quad \Phi^*(f)\widetilde{\Pi}^{-\mu(j)}(\frac{\widetilde{\Pi}^p}{\Phi^*(\widetilde{\Pi})})^{jt^{-1}}$$

($f \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j))$). To understand this definition note that $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j))$ implies $\Phi^*(f) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(pD(j))$ and that $\widetilde{\Pi}^{-\mu(j)} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(-\mu(j)\mathrm{div}(\Pi))$, and finally that $\widetilde{\Pi}^p \equiv \Phi^*(\widetilde{\Pi})$ modulo p, hence $\widetilde{\Pi}^p/\Phi^*(\widetilde{\Pi})$ is a 1-unit and its exponentiation with jt^{-1} makes sense. In view of (2) we have $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(pD(j) - \mu(j)\mathrm{div}(\Pi)) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(\nu(j)))$ so that we may and will consider F as a map

$$F : \Phi^*\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(\nu(j))). \tag{3}$$

This map commutes with the connections ∇_j and $\nabla_{\nu(j)}$. This could be verified by a straightforward computation; however, in section 2 we will get this fact for free by giving a new interpretation of the collection of crystals $E_U(j)$ tensored with K.

From (3) we get morphisms of crystals

$$F : \Phi^*E_U(j) \longrightarrow E_U(\nu(j)) \tag{4}$$

for $0 \le j \le t-1$. We define the crystal

$$E_U = \bigoplus_{0 \le j \le t-1} E_U(j). \tag{5}$$

and endow E_U with a Frobenius structure by taking $F : \Phi^*E_U \longrightarrow E_U$ as the sum over the maps (4) (each of them composed with the respective projection $\Phi^*E_U \to \Phi^*E_U(j)$ and inclusion $E_U(\nu(j)) \to E_U$). We have defined an F-crystal E_U on U.

Next we wish to glue these locally defined F-crystals E_U to obtain a crystal E on Y. To do this we need to check the independence of our local constructions of the chosen local lifting data. Repeating the above constructions with respect to another local lifting datum $(U', \mathfrak{U}', D'_{\mathfrak{U}}, \widetilde{\Pi}', \Phi')$ we get an F-crystal $E'_{U'}$ on U'. Let $g \in G$ and let $g^*E'_{U'}$ be the pull back F-crystal on $g^{-1}U'$.

Lemma 1.2. *There is a canonical (depending on the local lifting data) isomorphism of F-crystals on $U \cap g^{-1}U'$,*

$$\beta_g : E_U|_{U \cap g^{-1}U'} \cong g^*E'_{U'}|_{U \cap g^{-1}U'}.$$

Given a third local lifting datum over $U'' \subset Y$ and another element $h \in G$, we have $\beta_{gh} = h^*(\beta_g) \circ (\beta_h)$ on $U \cap g^{-1}U' \cap (gh)^{-1}U''$.

PROOF: Chopping of closed subschemes we may assume that g induces an isomorphism $U \to U'$. We blow up $\mathfrak{U} \times_W \mathfrak{U}'$ along the closed formal subschemes $V_{\mathfrak{U}} \times gV_{\mathfrak{U}'}$ for $V \in \mathcal{V}$, we then remove the strict transforms of all $\mathfrak{U} \times gV_{\mathfrak{U}'}$ and of all $V_{\mathfrak{U}} \times \mathfrak{U}'$ (for all V) and call the result $\mathfrak{W}$. By construction, the embedding $(1, g) : U \to \mathfrak{U} \times_W \mathfrak{U}'$ factors as canonically as

$$U \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathfrak{W} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathfrak{U} \times_W \mathfrak{U}'. \tag{6}$$

So far this is the standard construction in logarithmic crystalline cohomology: in the terminology of logarithmic geometry, ι is an exact closed embedding and ρ is log étale so that (6) may be called an exactification of the closed embedding of formal log schemes $(1, g) : U \to \mathfrak{U} \times_W \mathfrak{U}'$. In our particular situation this means that the map $u_1 : \mathfrak{W} \to \mathfrak{U}$ (resp. $u_2 : \mathfrak{W} \to \mathfrak{U}'$), the composite of ρ with the projection to the first (resp. the second) factor of $\mathfrak{U} \times_W \mathfrak{U}'$, is smooth (in the classical sense), and moreover that the pull back of $D_{\mathfrak{U}}$ via u_1 is the same as the pull back of $D_{\mathfrak{U}'}$ via u_2: a relative normal crossings divisor on $\mathfrak{W}$ which we denote by $D_{\mathfrak{W}}$.

We view U as a closed subscheme of $\mathfrak{W}$ via ι. Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the structure sheaf of the p-adically completed divided power envelope of U in $\mathfrak{W}$; it is supported on U. On sufficiently small open affine pieces T of $\mathfrak{W}$ the ring $\mathcal{D}(T)$ is via u_1 (resp. u_2) a relative p-adically completed divided power polynomial ring over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}$ (resp. over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}'}$): this is because u_1 (resp. u_2) is smooth and admits locally a section supported on U. We claim that

$$\alpha_j = \frac{u_1^{-1}(\widetilde{\Pi})^{jt^{-1}}}{u_2^{-1}(\widetilde{\Pi}')^{jt^{-1}}}$$

is a global section and a generator (as a $\mathcal{D}$-module sheaf) of

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{W}}(j(u_1^{-1}D(j) - u_2^{-1}D(j))) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{W}}} \mathcal{D}. \tag{7}$$

In particular, (7) is a free $\mathcal{D}$-module of rank one. [Here and below, to define the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{W}}(j(u_1^{-1}D(j) - u_2^{-1}D(j)))$ on $\mathfrak{W}$ we use similar notational conventions as we did to define line bundles on $\mathfrak{U}$; namely, we write the divisor $j(u_1^{-1}D(j) - u_2^{-1}D(j))$ on $\mathfrak{W} \otimes k$ as $\sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} b_V V_{\mathfrak{W} \otimes k}$ with $b_V \in \mathbb{Z}$ and prime divisors $V_{\mathfrak{W} \otimes k}$ on $\mathfrak{W} \otimes k$. By construction, the $V_{\mathfrak{W} \otimes k}$ lift to W-flat formal subschemes $V_{\mathfrak{W}}$ of $D_{\mathfrak{W}}$ (which are thus prime divisors relative to $\mathrm{Spf}(W)$), and we define the relative normal crossings divisor $j(u_1^{-1}D(j) - u_2^{-1}D(j))_{\mathfrak{W}}$ on $\mathfrak{W}$ as $\sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} b_V V_{\mathfrak{W}}$, which we then use to define $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{W}}(j(u_1^{-1}D(j) - u_2^{-1}D(j)))$.]

We know $\Pi / g(\Pi) = \gamma_g^t$ for some $\gamma_g \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{L}_Y(D))$. Viewing γ_g as an element of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U(D \cap U))$ we may lift it to a section $\widetilde{\gamma}_g \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D_{\mathfrak{U}}))$. Letting $g(\widetilde{\Pi}) = \widetilde{\Pi}\widetilde{\gamma}_g^{-t}$ we have

$$\alpha_j = \frac{u_1^{-1}(\widetilde{\Pi})^{jt^{-1}}}{u_1^{-1}(g(\widetilde{\Pi}))^{jt^{-1}}} \frac{u_1^{-1}(g(\widetilde{\Pi}))^{jt^{-1}}}{u_2^{-1}(\widetilde{\Pi}')^{jt^{-1}}}. \tag{8}$$

The first factor in (8) is simply $u_1^{-1}(\widetilde{\gamma}_g)^j$ and this is a generator of

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{W}}(j(u_1^{-1}D(j) - u_2^{-1}D(j))) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{W}}(j(u_1^{-1}(D(j) - g^{-1}D(j))))$$

(note that $u_2^{-1}(V) = u_1^{-1}(g^{-1}V)$ for any divisor V on Y). For the second factor in (8) we have

$$\frac{u_1^{-1}(g(\widetilde{\Pi}))^{jt^{-1}}}{u_2^{-1}(\widetilde{\Pi}')^{jt^{-1}}} = (1 + \frac{u_1^{-1}(g(\widetilde{\Pi})) - u_2^{-1}(\widetilde{\Pi}')}{u_2^{-1}(\widetilde{\Pi}')})^{jt^{-1}}$$
$$= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{jt^{-1}(jt^{-1}-1)\cdot\ldots\cdot(jt^{-1}-\nu+1)}{\nu!}(\frac{u_1^{-1}(g(\widetilde{\Pi})) - u_2^{-1}(\widetilde{\Pi}')}{u_2^{-1}(\widetilde{\Pi}')})^{\nu}.$$

Now $\frac{u_1^{-1}(g(\widetilde{\Pi}))-u_2^{-1}(\widetilde{\Pi}')}{u_2^{-1}(\widetilde{\Pi}')}$ is a global section of the ideal of the embedding $\iota : U \to \mathfrak{W}$, hence the infinite sum is a global section and a generator of $\mathcal{D}$. (In fact the second factor in (8) is an element of the structure sheaf of the completion of $\mathfrak{W}$ along U since all $\frac{jt^{-1}(jt^{-1}-1)\cdot\ldots\cdot(jt^{-1}-\nu+1)}{\nu!}$ lie in $\mathbb{Z}_p$.) Our claim is established.

We have the logarithmic connection $u_1^*\nabla_j$ on

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{W}}(u_1^{-1}D(j)) = u_1^*\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j))$$

and the logarithmic connection $u_2^*\nabla_j$ on

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{W}}(u_2^{-1}D(j)) = u_2^*\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}'}(D(j))$$

(with logarithmic poles along $D_{\mathfrak{W}}$) and $u_1^*\nabla_j$ (resp. $u_2^*\nabla_j$) is characterized as follows. Outside $D_{\mathfrak{W}}$ we identify as usual $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{W}}(u_1^{-1}D(j))$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{W}}(u_2^{-1}D(j))$) with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{W}}$ and under this identification, $u_1^*\nabla_j$ (resp. $u_2^*\nabla_j$) acts on $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{W}}$ as

$$u_1^*\nabla_j(f) = d(f) - jt^{-1}f\mathrm{dlog}(u_1^{-1}(\widetilde{\Pi})),$$

$$u_2^*\nabla_j(f) = d(f) - jt^{-1}f\mathrm{dlog}(u_2^{-1}(\widetilde{\Pi}')).$$

The connection $u_1^*\nabla_j$ (resp. the connection $u_2^*\nabla_j$) gives rise to a connection $u_1^*\nabla_j$ (resp. $u_2^*\nabla_j$) on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{W}}(u_1^{-1}D(j)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{W}}} \mathcal{D}$ (resp. on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{W}}(u_2^{-1}D(j)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{W}}} \mathcal{D}$). Since $\iota : U \to \mathfrak{W}$ is an exact closed embedding into a log smooth formal W-scheme, these data are equivalent with crystals on U; namely, the crystal $E_U(j)$ is equivalent to the one associated with $(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{W}}(u_1^{-1}D(j)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{W}}} \mathcal{D}, u_1^*\nabla_j)$, and the crystal $g^*E'_{U'}(j)$ is equivalent to the one associated with $(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{W}}(u_2^{-1}D(j)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{W}}} \mathcal{D}, u_2^*\nabla_j)$. We define the isomorphism of modules with connection

$$\alpha_j : (\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{W}}(u_2^{-1}D(j)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{W}}} \mathcal{D}, u_2^*\nabla_j) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{W}}(u_1^{-1}D(j)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{W}}} \mathcal{D}, u_1^*\nabla_j)$$

as the one induced by multiplication with the function α_j defined above. It induces an isomorphism of crystals $g^*E'_{U'}(j) \cong E_U(j)$. Taking the sum over all j gives the isomorphism of crystals $g^*E'_{U'} \cong E_U$; it respects the Frobenius structures, so this is in fact an isomorphism of F-crystals. To check the cocycle condition one has to work on the divided power envelope of (an exactification of) $(1, g, gh) : U \to \mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{U}' \times \mathfrak{U}''$ (note that $(1, g) : Y \to Y \times Y$ has the same image as $(h, gh) : Y \to Y \times Y$: this is the axiom for G acting (from the left) on Y) and there it boils down to the obvious multiplicativity of the involved automorphy factors α_j (one of them being the product of the other two). □

Remark: In fact all compatibilities (commutation and cocycle conditions between Frobenii, connections and the G-action, i.e. the α_j) in the above proof, partly left out there, follow from our discussion in section 2 below where we see that the E_U sit inside a G-equivariant overconvergent F-isocrystal $E^\dagger$ on Y^0 for which *a priori* all the compatibilities hold; from this point of view the purpose of the present section is to observe that the Frobenius, connection and G-action of $E^\dagger$ respect the integral structures E_U.

Using 1.2 with $g = h = 1$ we see that we can glue our F-crystals E_U associated with local lifting data to a global crystal E on Y relative to $\mathrm{Spf}(W)$. By construction, as a crystal it is naturally decomposed as

$$E = \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq t-1} E(j) \tag{9}$$

such that $E_U(j)$ is the restriction of $E(j)$ to U. Moreover 1.2 for arbitrary $g \in G$ provides an isomorphism of F-crystals $g^*E \cong E$, respecting the crystal decomposition (9). The cocycle condition in 1.2 ensures that these isomorphisms give E the structure of a G-equivariant F-crystal on Y. In particular, the crystalline cohomology $H^*_{crys}(Y/W, E)$ becomes a right representation of G.

Let $\Omega^\bullet_Y$ denote the logarithmic de Rham complex on Y. Let $\overline{E}$ resp. $\overline{E}(j)$ denote the reduction modulo p of the F-crystal E, resp. the crystal $E(j)$. Thus $\overline{E}(j)$ is equivalent with the logarithmic connection $\nabla_j : \mathcal{L}_Y(D(j)) \to \mathcal{L}_Y(D(j)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega^1_Y$. The action of G on each $\overline{E}(j)$ and hence on $\overline{E}$ is then easily described follows. For $g \in G$ we need to give an isomorphism $g^*\overline{E}(j) \cong \overline{E}(j)$. It is the one corresponding to the isomorphism of line bundles with connection $\mathcal{L}_Y(g^{-1}D(j)) \cong \mathcal{L}_Y(D(j))$ given by multiplication with γ_g^j.

Remarks: (1) If $s \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that t divides $p^s - 1$ then F^s respects the direct sum decomposition (9) so that each $E(j)$ is an F^s-crystal.

(2) Suppose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ divides t and there is a $\Lambda \in \Gamma(Y^0, \mathcal{O}_{Y^0})$ with $\Lambda^m = \Pi$. For $0 \leq j \leq t - 1 - tm^{-1}$ multiplication with local liftings $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ of Λ (such that $\widetilde{\Lambda}^m = \widetilde{\Pi}$ is a lifting of Π as part of a local lifting datum) induces an isomorphism of crystals

$$E(j) \cong E(j + tm^{-1}). \tag{10}$$

We conclude this section by computing the residues of the logarithmic connections ∇_j. Let $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and let $(U, \mathfrak{U}, D_{\mathfrak{U}}, \widetilde{\Pi}, \Phi)$ be a local lifting datum such that $V_{\mathfrak{U}}$ is non empty. Let $\mathrm{Res}_V(\nabla_j)$ denote the residue along $V_{\mathfrak{U}}$ of the logarithmic connection ∇_j on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j))$.

Lemma 1.3.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Res}_V(\nabla_j) &= jt^{-1}\mu_V(\Pi) - b_{V,j} \\ &= jt^{-1}\mu_V(\Pi) - \lfloor jt^{-1}\mu_V(\Pi) \rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: Locally on $\mathfrak{U}$ we find an étale morphism of formal W-schemes

$$\widetilde{\lambda} : \mathfrak{U} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(W[X_1, \ldots, X_n]\widehat{\ })$$

such that $g = \widetilde{\lambda}^*(X_1) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}$ is a local equation for $V_{\mathfrak{U}}$ in $\mathfrak{U}$. Consider the composition

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}} \mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathfrak{U}}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}} \Omega^1_{\mathfrak{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}} \mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathfrak{U}}} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}} \mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathfrak{U}}}$$

where the first map is the one induced by ∇_j and the second map β is induced by the map $\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{U}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathfrak{U}}}$ which sends the class of $f\mathrm{dlog}(g)$ with $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}$ to the image of f in $\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathfrak{U}}}$ (and vanishes on all forms which are regular along $V_{\mathfrak{U}}$). This composition is given by multiplication with $\mathrm{Res}_V(\nabla_j)$ — by definition of $\mathrm{Res}_V(\nabla_j)$. To compute $\mathrm{Res}_V(\nabla_j)$ it is enough to evaluate the map on an arbitrary non zero element of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}} \mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathfrak{U}}}$. We evaluate it on the element $g^{-b_{V,j}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}} \mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathfrak{U}}}$. We may write $\widetilde{\Pi} = g^{-\mu_V(\Pi)}h$ with a function h regular and non-zero along $V_{\mathfrak{U}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_j(g^{-b_{V,j}}) &= d(g^{-b_{V,j}}) - jt^{-1}g^{-b_{V,j}}\mathrm{dlog}(g^{-\mu_V(\Pi)}h) \\
&= g^{-b_{V,j}}\mathrm{dlog}(g^{-b_{V,j}}) - jt^{-1}g^{-b_{V,j}}\mathrm{dlog}(g^{-\mu_V(\Pi)}h) \\
&= -b_{V,j}g^{-b_{V,j}}\mathrm{dlog}(g) + jt^{-1}\mu_V(\Pi)g^{-b_{V,j}}\mathrm{dlog}(g) - jt^{-1}g^{-b_{V,j}}\mathrm{dlog}(h)
\end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows since β vanishes on the term $jt^{-1}g^{-b_{V,j}}\mathrm{dlog}(h)$. □

2 Relative rigid cohomology of tame abelian coverings

We compute the relative rigid cohomology $E^\dagger$ of the finite étale morphism $f : X \to Y^0$; thus $E^\dagger$ will be an overconvergent F-isocrystal on Y^0, endowed with an action by G. Since this is a local datum we suppose that Y^0 is affine. Then Y^0 lifts to a smooth weak formal affine W-scheme $\mathfrak{U} = \mathrm{Spwf}(A)$, see [8]. We choose a lifting $\widetilde{\Pi} \in A$ of Π and let

$$B = A[\Xi]/(1 - \Xi^t\widetilde{\Pi}).$$

As an A-module B decomposes as

$$B = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{t-1} \Xi^j A. \tag{11}$$

$\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{Spwf}(B)$ is a smooth weak formal W-scheme lifting X and the map $A \to B$ defines a lifting $\widetilde{f} : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{U}$ of f.

(I) First let us compute $E^\dagger$ as an overconvergent isocrystal on Y^0. Let $(\Omega^\bullet_A, d)$ resp. $(\Omega^\bullet_B, d)$ denote the de Rham complex of A resp. B relative to W. We compute the Gauss-Manin connection

$$\nabla : B \longrightarrow B \otimes_A \Omega^1_A. \tag{12}$$

In Ω^1_B we have $0 = d(1 - \Xi^t\widetilde{\Pi}) = d(\Xi^t\widetilde{\Pi})$, hence $d\Xi = -t^{-1}\Xi \mathrm{dlog}(\widetilde{\Pi})$. It follows that

$$\nabla(\Xi^j) = -jt^{-1}\Xi^j \mathrm{dlog}(\widetilde{\Pi})$$

for any $0 \leq j \leq t-1$. In particular we see that ∇ respects the direct sum decomposition (11). We endow the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_\mathbb{Q}}$-module

$$\mathcal{E} = (\widetilde{f}_\mathbb{Q})_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{t-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_\mathbb{Q}}\Xi^j$$

with an (integrable overconvergent) connection ∇ as follows: it respects the indicated sum decomposition, and on the j-summand it is given via the isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_\mathbb{Q}}$-modules

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_\mathbb{Q}} \cong \Xi^j\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_\mathbb{Q}}, \quad 1 \mapsto \Xi^j$$

(for $0 \leq j \leq t-1$) as the connection

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_j : \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_\mathbb{Q}} &\to \Omega^1_{\mathfrak{U}_\mathbb{Q}}, \\ f \quad &\mapsto d(f) - jt^{-1} f \mathrm{dlog}(\widetilde{\Pi}). \end{aligned}$$

This defines the overconvergent isocrystal $E^\dagger$ on Y^0 which decomposes accordingly as

$$E^\dagger = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{t-1} E(j)^\dagger. \tag{13}$$

(II) Next let us look at the Frobenius structure on $E^\dagger$. Choose an endomorphism Φ^* of the W-algebra A which restricts to σ on the subring W and which lifts the Frobenius endomorphism of $A \otimes k$. We extend Φ^* further to an endomorphism Φ^* of B lifting the p-power Frobenius endomorphism of B by prescribing

$$\Phi^*(\Xi^j) = \Xi^{\nu(j)}\widetilde{\Pi}^{-\mu(j)}\left(\frac{\widetilde{\Pi}^p}{\Phi^*(\widetilde{\Pi})}\right)^{jt^{-1}}$$

for $0 \leq j \leq t-1$, with the numbers $0 \leq \nu(j) \leq t-1$ and $\mu(j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ defined through equation (1). It follows that the Frobenius structure

$$F : \Phi^*E^\dagger \to E^\dagger$$

on $E^\dagger$ is given as the sum of maps

$$F_j : \Phi^*E(j)^\dagger \to E(\nu(j))^\dagger$$

where F_j is given by the morphism of modules with connection

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_\mathbb{Q}}, \nabla_j) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_\mathbb{Q}}, \Phi^*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_\mathbb{Q}} &\to (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_\mathbb{Q}}, \nabla_{\nu(j)}) \\ f \otimes 1 \qquad &\mapsto \Phi^*(f)\widetilde{\Pi}^{-\mu(j)}\left(\frac{\widetilde{\Pi}^p}{\Phi^*(\widetilde{\Pi})}\right)^{jt^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

(Compare with section 1).

(III) Finally we make explicit the G-action on $E^\dagger$. Fix $g \in G$. Let

$$\mathfrak{Z} = \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}, \qquad \mathfrak{W} = \mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{U}$$

(products in the category of weak formal schemes), and let $\mathfrak{Z}_K$ (resp. $\mathfrak{W}_K$) denote the generic fibre (as a dagger space) of $\mathfrak{Z}$ (resp. of $\mathfrak{W}$). We view X as a closed subscheme of $\mathfrak{Z}$, of $\mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{X}$ and of $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{U}$ via the embeddings

$$X \stackrel{(1,g)}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{Z},$$
$$X \stackrel{(f\circ 1,g)}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{X},$$
$$X \stackrel{(1,f\circ g)}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{U},$$

and we view Y^0 as a closed subscheme of $\mathfrak{W}$ via the embedding

$$Y^0 \stackrel{(1,g)}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{W}.$$

We denote by $]X[_{\mathfrak{Z}}$ the preimage of X under the specialization map $sp : \mathfrak{Z}_K \to \mathfrak{Z}$; thus $]X[_{\mathfrak{Z}}$ is an admissible open dagger subspace of $\mathfrak{Z}_K$. Similarly we define the admissible open dagger subspace $]Y^0[_{\mathfrak{W}}$ (resp. $]X[_{\mathfrak{U}\times\mathfrak{X}}$, resp. $]X[_{\mathfrak{X}\times\mathfrak{U}}$) of $\mathfrak{W}_K$ (resp. of $(\mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{X})_K$, resp. of $(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{U})_K$). Denote by

$$u_i :]Y^0[_{\mathfrak{W}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{W}_K \longrightarrow \mathfrak{U}_K$$

the projection to the i-th component ($i = 1, 2$). Since $\mathfrak{U}$ and $\mathfrak{W}$ are smooth weak formal schemes, the structure sheaf of the formal completion of $\mathfrak{W}$ along Y^0 is (locally) a relative formal power series ring over the structure sheaf of the p-adic completion of $\mathfrak{U}$. This implies (see [1]) that the morphism which u_i induces on the associated rigid spaces is a fibration in relative open polydisks; by the principles of [10] the same is true for the morphism of dagger spaces u_i itself. Moreover, with $\mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{U}$ also the projections $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{U} \to \mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{U}$ and $\mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{U}$ are finite étale. Therefore they induce isomorphisms between the respective formal completions along X and this implies — again first for the associated rigid spaces, then by the principles of [10] for the dagger spaces themselves — that the projection maps

$$]X[_{\mathfrak{U}\times\mathfrak{X}} \longleftarrow]X[_{\mathfrak{Z}} \longrightarrow]X[_{\mathfrak{X}\times\mathfrak{U}} \tag{14}$$

are isomorphisms. Now $]X[_{\mathfrak{Z}}$ is finite étale over $]Y^0[_{\mathfrak{W}}$, hence its relative de Rham cohomology provides a module with (integrable overconvergent) connection $(\mathcal{E}', \nabla')$ on $]Y^0[_{\mathfrak{W}}$, hence an overconvergent F-isocrystal $(E')^\dagger$ on Y^0. On the other hand the module with connection $u_1^*(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ (resp. $u_2^*(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$) on $]Y^0[_{\mathfrak{W}}$ corresponds to the previously defined F-isocrystal $E^\dagger$ (resp. $g^*E^\dagger$) on Y^0 by general principles of rigid cohomology (see [1]). By construction this is also the relative de Rham cohomology of $]X[_{\mathfrak{U}\times\mathfrak{X}} \to]Y^0[_{\mathfrak{W}}$ (resp. of $]X[_{\mathfrak{X}\times\mathfrak{U}} \to]Y^0[_{\mathfrak{W}}$). Since the maps (14) are isomorphisms the canonical maps

$$u_i^{-1} : u_i^*(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{E}', \nabla')$$

$(i = 1, 2)$ are therefore isomorphisms. Thus we may define the isomorphism of F-isocrystals

$$g : g^*E^\dagger \longrightarrow E^\dagger \tag{15}$$

as the one corresponding to the composite $(u_1^{-1})^{-1} \circ u_2^{-1}$.

This describes the G-action on $E^\dagger$. However, we also whish to trace back the decomposition (13) in this description. We keep our fixed $g \in G$ and the above notations. In view of the isomorphisms (14) we can describe the $\mathcal{O}_{]Y^0[_{\mathfrak{W}}}$-module with connection $(\mathcal{E}', \nabla')$ as the pull back of $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ via u_i for either $i = 1$ or $i = 2$, hence both

$$\{u_i^{-1}(\Xi)^j\}_{0 \leq j \leq t-1} \qquad (i = 1, 2)$$

are $\mathcal{O}_{]Y^0[_{\mathfrak{W}}}$-bases. We claim that the transformation matrix between these bases is diagonal: namely, we claim that for all j,

$$\alpha_j = \frac{u_2^{-1}(\Xi)^j}{u_1^{-1}(\Xi)^j},$$

a priori an element of the fraction field of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Z}_K}(\mathfrak{Z}_K)$, is a global section of $\mathcal{O}_{]Y^0[_{\mathfrak{W}}}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ denote the ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Z}}$ which defines the diagonal embedding $(1, 1) : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{Z}$. Then α_j is characterized by the two properties

$$1 - \alpha_j \in \mathcal{I},$$

$$\alpha_j^t = \frac{u_1^{-1}(\widetilde{\Pi})^j}{u_2^{-1}(\widetilde{\Pi})^j}.$$

Hence necessarily

$$\alpha_j = (\frac{(u_1^{-1}(\widetilde{\Pi})}{u_2^{-1}(\widetilde{\Pi})})^{jt^{-1}}.$$

Therefore it follows from our discussion in the proof of 1.2 that α_j is indeed a global section of $\mathcal{O}_{]Y^0[_{\mathfrak{W}}}$. By symmetry it is clear that it is even a unit. As announced it follows that the two decompositions

$$\mathcal{E}' = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{t-1} \mathcal{O}_{]Y^0[_{\mathfrak{W}}} u_1^{-1}(\Xi)^j = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{t-1} \mathcal{O}_{]Y^0[_{\mathfrak{W}}} u_2^{-1}(\Xi)^j$$

are in fact the same and respect the connection ∇' on $\mathcal{E}'$. Moreover, the map (15) respects this decomposition, and its effect on the j-th summand can be described as follows.

Via the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_K} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_K}\Xi^j, \qquad 1 \mapsto \Xi^j$$

the connection which ∇ induces on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_K}\Xi^j$ becomes the connection ∇_j on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_K}$ given by

$$f \mapsto d(f) - jt^{-1} f \mathrm{dlog}(\widetilde{\Pi}).$$

Multiplication with α_j defines an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{]Y^0[_{\mathfrak{W}}}$-modules with connection

$$(\mathcal{O}_{]Y^0[_{\mathfrak{W}}}, u_2^*\nabla_j) \to (\mathcal{O}_{]Y^0[_{\mathfrak{W}}}, u_1^*\nabla_j).$$

Identifying $E(j)^\dagger$ (resp. $g^*E(j)^\dagger$) with the overconvergent isocrystal associated with $(\mathcal{O}_{]Y^0[_{\mathfrak{W}}}, u_1^*\nabla_j)$ (resp. associated with $(\mathcal{O}_{]Y^0[_{\mathfrak{W}}}, u_2^*\nabla_j)$) we therefore obtain an isomorphism of overconvergent isocrystals on Y^0,

$$g : g^*E(j)^\dagger \to E(j)^\dagger.$$

Definition: We have $H^*_{rig}(X) = H^*_{rig}(Y^0, E^\dagger)$. For $0 \leq j \leq t-1$ we now define the subspace $H^*_{rig}(X)_j$ of $H^*_{rig}(X)$ as the one corresponding to the subspace $H^*_{rig}(Y^0, E^\dagger(j))$ of $H^*_{rig}(Y^0, E^\dagger)$.

Remark: If $K^\times$ contains the cyclic group T of all t-th roots of unity then we may view $f : X \to Y^0$ as the T-covering for which the action of T on X is given by $h.\Xi = h\Xi$ for all $h \in T$. Then $H^*_{rig}(X)_j$ is the subspace of $H^*_{rig}(X)$ on which T acts through the character $\theta_j : T \to K^\times, h \mapsto h^j$.

Theorem 2.1. *We have a canonical G-equivariant and Frobenius equivariant isomorphism*

$$H^*_{rig}(X) = H^*_{rig}(Y^0, E^\dagger) \cong H^*_{crys}(Y/W, E) \otimes_W K. \tag{16}$$

For $0 \leq j \leq t-1$ it restricts to a G-equivariant isomorphism

$$H^*_{rig}(X)_j \cong H^*_{crys}(Y/W, E(j)) \otimes_W K.$$

Proof: By the construction described in [17] we can associate to the F-crystal E on Y (which is weakly non-degenerate in the terminology of [17]: it restricts to a non-degenerate F-crystal on the open subscheme Y^0 of Y where the log structure is trivial) an overconvergent F-isocrystal on Y^0: but this is precisely our overconvergent F-isocrystal $E^\dagger$, as follows from the explicit descriptions of E and $E^\dagger$ given above. These desriptions also show the coincidence of the G-actions. To get the isomorphism (16) we proceed as in [17] 4.2, 4.4 or [19] Corollary 2.3.9, Theorem 3.1.1, Theorem 2.4.4: the results in [19] are formulated only for (truly) non-degenerate F-crystals, but as remarked in [19] 2.4.14 they carry over to weakly non-degenerate F-crystals whose residues along the compactifying normal crossings divisor have no positive integers as eigenvalues; that this condition is met by our E was checked in 1.3 (the other condition in [19] 2.4.14 — that the exponents of the monodromy be non-Liouville numbers — is guaranteed by the Frobenius structure, as remarked in [17] 4.2). That the isomorphism (16) respects the j-parts is clear. □

Theorem 2.2. *For $0 \leq j \leq t-1$ the following three virtual $k[G^{opp}]$-modules are the same:*
(i) the reduction modulo p of the virtual $K[G^{opp}]$-module $\sum_s (-1)^s H^s_{rig}(X)_j$
(ii) $\sum_s (-1)^s H^s(Y, (\Omega^\bullet_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}_Y(D(j)), \nabla_j))$
(iii) $\sum_{s,m} (-1)^{s+m} H^s(Y, \Omega^m_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}_Y(D(j)))$.

Proof: Let us describe the main result from [12]. Let Y be a proper and smooth k-scheme and suppose that the finite group G acts (from the right) on Y. Let E be a locally free, finitely generated crystal of $\mathcal{O}_{Y/W}$-modules endowed with an action by G (covering the action of G on

Y). For $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $H^s_{crys}(Y/W, E)$ denote the s-th crystalline cohomology group (relative to $\mathrm{Spf}(W)$) of the crystal E, a finitely generated W-module which is zero if $s \notin [0, 2\dim(Y)]$. On the other hand, the reduction modulo p of the crystal E is equivalent with a locally free $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module E_k with connection $E_k \to E_k \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega^1_Y$; here Ω^1_Y denotes the $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module of differentials of Y/k. Let $\Omega^\bullet_Y \otimes E_k$ denote the corresponding de Rham complex. The cohomology group $H^s(Y, \Omega^\bullet_Y \otimes E_k)$ is a finite dimensional k-vector space which is zero if $s \notin [0, 2\dim(Y)]$. The G-action on E provides each $H^s_{crys}(Y/W, E)$, each $H^s(Y, \Omega^\bullet_Y \otimes E_k)$ and each $H^s(Y, \Omega^t_Y \otimes E_k)$ with a G-action. By definition, the reduction modulo p of the $K[G]$-module $H^s_{crys}(Y/W, E) \otimes_W K$ is the $k[G]$-module obtained by reducing modulo p the G-stable W-lattice $H^s_{crys}(Y/W, E)/(\text{torsion})$ in $H^s_{crys}(Y/W, E) \otimes_W K$. Then: for any j, the following three virtual $k[G]$-modules are the same:
(i) the reduction modulo p of the virtual $K[G]$-module $\sum_s (-1)^s H^s_{crys}(Y/W, E) \otimes_W K$
(ii) $\sum_s (-1)^s H^s(Y, \Omega^\bullet_Y \otimes E_k)$
(iii) $\sum_{s,t} (-1)^{s+t} H^s(Y, \Omega^t_Y \otimes E_k)$.

This result is stated for crystals in the ordinary sense, but the transposition to logarithmic crystals is immediate. Therefore, combined with Theorem 2.1, it implies Theorem 2.2. (Of course, (ii)=(iii) is immediately clear anyway). □

For a collection $H^* = (H^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of vector spaces indexed by $\mathbb{Z}$ we write

$$\chi(H^*) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim(H^i).$$

Theorem 2.3. *(a) $\chi(H^*_{rig}(X)_j)$ is independent of $0 \le j \le t-1$.*
(b) If $0 \le j \le t-1$ is such that $j\mu_V(\Pi)$ is not divisible by t for all $V \in \mathcal{V}$ then

$$H^*_{crys}(Y/W, E(j)) \otimes_W K = H^*_{crys,c}(Y/W, E(j)) \otimes_W K,$$

$$H^*_{rig}(Y^0, E^\dagger_j) = H^*_{rig,c}(Y^0, E^\dagger_j).$$

(c) Suppose Y^0 is affine and of pure dimension d. For all $0 \le j \le t-1$ and all $m > d$ we have $H^m_{rig}(X)_j = 0$. For $0 \le j \le t-1$ as in (b) also $H^m_{rig}(X)_j = 0$ for all $m < d$.

Proof: Clearly (c) follows from (b) by Poincaré duality. Consider the divisor $D^-(j) = D(j) - \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} V$ on Y. Just as we defined the crystal $E(j)$ departing from the divisor $D(j)$ we may now define the crystal $E^-(j)$ departing from the divisor $D^-(j)$ but using the same rule for the integrable connections ∇_j. Thus if $(U, \mathfrak{U}, D_{\mathfrak{U}}, \widetilde{\Pi}, \Phi)$ is a local lifting datum then $E(j)|_U$ is given by the connection $\nabla_j : \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j)) \to \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j)) \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathfrak{U}}$ while $E^-(j)|_U$ is given by the connection $\nabla_j : \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D^-(j)) \to \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D^-(j)) \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathfrak{U}}$. The crystalline cohomology with compact support $H^*_{crys,c}(Y/W, E(j))$ of $E(j)$ is just the crystalline cohomology of the subcrystal $E^-(j)$ of $E(j)$. Hence to prove (b) for crystalline cohomology we need to show that the natural map

$$H^*_{crys}(Y/W, E^-(j)) \otimes_W K \longrightarrow H^*_{crys}(Y/W, E(j)) \otimes_W K$$

is an isomorphism. To see this it is enough to show that for sufficiently small open $U \subset Y$ the map $H^*_{crys}(U/W, E^-(j)) \otimes_W K \longrightarrow H^*_{crys}(U/W, E(j)) \otimes_W K$ is an isomorphism. Thus we may

work with a local lifting datum $(U, \mathfrak{U}, D_{\mathfrak{U}}, \widetilde{\Pi}, \Phi)$ and need to show that the map

$$H^*(U, (\Omega^\bullet_{\mathfrak{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D^-(j)) \otimes_W K, \nabla_j)) \longrightarrow H^*(U, (\Omega^\bullet_{\mathfrak{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j)) \otimes_W K, \nabla_j))$$

is an isomorphism. Our hypothesis on j together with 1.3 implies that the residue of $(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j)), \nabla_j)$ along $V_{\mathfrak{U}}$ for each $V \in \mathcal{V}$ is non-zero. This means that the quotient complex

$$\frac{(\Omega^\bullet_{\mathfrak{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D(j)) \otimes_W K, \nabla_j)}{(\Omega^\bullet_{\mathfrak{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{U}}(D^-(j)) \otimes_W K, \nabla_j)}$$

is acyclic, hence (b) for crystalline cohomology. But then we also get (b) for rigid cohomology from 2.1 and Poincaré duality in crystalline and rigid cohomology. Now we prove (a). By [3] we know that $\mathbb{R}\Gamma_{crys}(Y/W, E(j))$ is represented by a bounded complex of finitely generated free W-modules. Moreover we know from [3] that

$$\mathbb{R}\Gamma_{crys}(Y/W, E(j)) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_W k = \mathbb{R}\Gamma_{crys}(Y/k, E(k) \otimes_W k).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(H^*_{rig}(X)_j) &= \chi(H^*_{crys}(Y/W, E(j)) \otimes_W K) \\
&= \chi(H^*_{crys}(Y/k, E(j) \otimes_W k)) \\
&= \chi(H^*(Y, (\Omega^\bullet_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}_Y(D(j)), \nabla_j))) \\
&= \chi(H^*(Y, \Omega^\bullet_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}_Y(D(j))))
\end{aligned}$$

where the last term is to be understood as $\sum_{s,m}(-1)^{s+t} \dim_k(H^s(Y, \Omega^m_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}_Y(D(j))))$. In the same sense we understand χ in the following (17). For any divisor $Q = \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} b_V V$ and any $V \in \mathcal{V}$ we claim

$$\chi(H^*(Y, \Omega^\bullet_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}_Y(Q) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_V)) = 0. \tag{17}$$

Choose a non empty open subset U of Y and an element $y \in \mathcal{O}_Y(U)$ which is an equation for $V \cap U$ in U. Then we have for each s an exact sequence of sheaves on Y

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_Y(Q) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega^{s-1}_V \stackrel{\wedge \mathrm{dlog}(y)}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{L}_Y(Q) \otimes \Omega^s_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_V \stackrel{pr}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{L}_Y(Q) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega^s_V \longrightarrow 0 \tag{18}$$

where pr denotes the natural projection map. The vanishing (17) follows. Hence, if we let $Q' = Q - V$ the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_Y(Q') \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_Y(Q) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_Y(Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_V \longrightarrow 0$$

shows that the number $\chi(H^*(Y, \Omega^\bullet_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}_Y(Q)))$ is independent of the coefficients b_V. Statement (a) follows. □

Remarks: (1) Let Y and Y^0 be as above and consider now the more general finite étale coverings $f : X \to Y^0$ of the following form. There is a finite index set I and for each $i \in I$ an element $t_i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(p, t_i) = 1$ and a unit $\Pi_i \in \Gamma(Y^0, \mathcal{O}_{Y^0})$ such that

$$X = \underline{\mathrm{Spec}}(\mathcal{O}_{Y^0}[\Xi_i]_{i \in I} / ((1 - \Xi_i^{t_i} \Pi_i)_{i \in I})).$$

Suppose again that a finite group G acts compatibly on Y, Y^0 and X. Then all our constructions extend straightforwardly to this more general situation: the relative rigid cohomology of f extends to an explicitly described G-equivariant logarithmic F-crystal E on Y^0. As a G-equivariant crystal it decomposes as

$$E = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \bigoplus_{0 \leq j_i \leq t_i - 1} E((j_i)_{i \in I}),$$

such that each $E((j_i)_{i \in I})$ is of rank one. Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 have obvious analogs in this situation.

(2) Now assume in addition that Y^0 is quasiaffine: this implies that every coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Y^0}$-module is generated by its global sections (see e.g. [4] A2.10). Consider a finite étale Galois covering $f : X \to Y^0$ with *abelian* Galois group T of order t prime to p and assume that k contains all $\exp(T)$-th roots of unity. We claim that f has the form just described. To see this we use the action of T to decompose the $\mathcal{O}_{Y^0}(Y^0)$-module $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$ into t free direct summands of rank one. Explicitly, let $T = \prod_{i \in I} T_i$ be a decomposition into cyclic groups. For each $i \in I$ choose a character $\theta(i) : T_i \to k^\times$ such that any other character $T_i \to k^\times$ is a power of $\theta(i)$. Let Ξ_i denote a generator of the $\mathcal{O}_{Y^0}(Y^0)$-submodule of $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$ on which T_i acts through $\theta(i)$ and on which all T_s for $s \neq i$ act trivially. Then Ξ_i is a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{Y^0}(Y^0)$; if we let $\Pi_i = \Xi_i^{-t_i}$ we are precisely in the situation considered above.

(3) This leads to the following natural question. Suppose $X \to Y^0$ is a finite étale Galois covering with (possibly non-abelian) Galois group T of order prime to p. Does the relative rigid cohomology of f extend to a logarithmic F-crystal E on Y^0 ? Does it so in a G-equivariant manner if a finite group G acts compatibly on Y, Y^0 and X ? We hope to return to this question in the future.

3 The Deligne-Lusztig functor

For the remainder of this paper we adopt the following notations. k is a finite field with q elements, $\overline{k}$ an algebraic closure and W, resp. $W(\overline{k})$, the ring of Witt vectors with coefficients in k, resp. in $\overline{k}$. We let $K = \mathrm{Quot}(W)$, $K(\overline{k}) = \mathrm{Quot}(W(\overline{k}))$ and let $\overline{K}$ denote an algebraic closure of K and $K(\overline{k})$.

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a reductive algebraic group over $\overline{k}$ with Frobenius endomorphism F such that $\mathbb{G}(\overline{k})^F = \mathbb{G}(k)$ (in particular $\mathbb{G}$ is k-rational). Let $\mathbb{L}$ be a k-rational Levi subgroup of $\mathbb{G}$ and let $\mathbb{P}$ denote a parabolic subgroup of $\mathbb{G}$ whose Levi subgroup is $\mathbb{L}$ but which itself is not necessarily k-rational. Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{L}\mathbb{U}$ be the Levi decomposition. Define the associated Deligne-Lusztig variety as the following subvariety of $\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{U}$:

$$X = \{g.\mathbb{U} \quad ; \quad g^{-1}F(g) \in \mathbb{U}.F(\mathbb{U})\}.$$

X is a smooth ([4] Theorem 7.7) and quasiaffine ([4] Theorem 7.15) $\overline{k}$-variety and $\mathbb{G}(k) \times \mathbb{L}(k)^{opp}$ acts from the left on X.

Let ℓ be any prime number different from p and fix an identification $\overline{K} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. We define the virtual $\overline{K}[\mathbb{G}(k) \times \mathbb{L}(k)^{opp}]$-modules

$$H^\heartsuit_{et,c}(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = \sum_i (-1)^i H^i_{et,c}(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell),$$
$$H^\heartsuit_{rig,c}(X/\overline{K}) = \sum_i (-1)^i H^i_{rig,c}(X) \otimes_{K(\overline{k})} \overline{K}$$

and the virtual $\overline{K}[\mathbb{L}(k) \times \mathbb{G}(k)^{opp}]$-module

$$H^\heartsuit_{rig}(X/\overline{K}) = \sum_i (-1)^i H^i_{rig}(X) \otimes_{K(\overline{k})} \overline{K}.$$

The virtual $\overline{K}[\mathbb{G}(k) \times \mathbb{L}(k)^{opp}]$-module $H^\heartsuit_{et,c}(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ gives rise to the Deligne-Lusztig functor associated with $\mathbb{L} \subset \mathbb{G}$, see [4].

Theorem 3.1. *(a)*

$$H^\heartsuit_{et,c}(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = H^\heartsuit_{rig,c}(X/\overline{K})$$

as virtual $\overline{K}[\mathbb{G}(k) \times \mathbb{L}(k)^{opp}]$-modules.
(b)

$$H^\heartsuit_{et,c}(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{K}}(H^\heartsuit_{rig}(X/\overline{K}), \overline{K})$$

as virtual $\overline{K}[\mathbb{G}(k) \times \mathbb{L}(k)^{opp}]$-modules.

Proof: (a) Since the group algebra of a finite group over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 is semisimple, the elements in the Grothendieck group of its modules are uniquely determined by their characters. Therefore we need to prove that for all $(g, l) \in \mathbb{G}(k) \times \mathbb{L}(k)^{opp}$ we have

$$Tr((g,l)^* \mid H^\heartsuit_{et,c}(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)) = Tr((g,l)^* \mid H^\heartsuit_{rig,c}(X/\overline{K}))$$

where Tr denotes the trace. Let more generally $\sigma : X \to X$ be an automorphism of finite order. Then we claim

$$Tr(\sigma^* \mid H^\heartsuit_{et,c}(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)) = Tr(\sigma^* \mid H^\heartsuit_{rig,c}(X/\overline{K})).$$

(For the following argument compare with [6] Theorem 3.2). For $n \geq 1$ the composite $F^n\sigma$ is the Frobenius map relative to some new way of lowering the field of definition of X from $\overline{k}$ to a finite subfield of $\overline{k}$ (see [7] 3.3, 3.6). The Lefschetz fixed point formula for ℓ-adic and for rigid cohomology (see [9] for the latter) shows

$$Tr((F^n\sigma)^* \mid H^\heartsuit_{et,c}(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)) = |X(\overline{k})^{F^n\sigma}| = Tr((F^n\sigma)^* \mid H^\heartsuit_{rig,c}(X/\overline{K})). \tag{19}$$

The automorphisms F^* and σ^* of the cohomology commute; hence they can be reduced to a triangular form in the same basis of $\oplus_i H^i_{et,c}(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ (resp. of $\oplus_i H^i_{rig,c}(X) \otimes_{K(\overline{k})} \overline{K}$). Hence there are α_λ and β_λ such that

$$Tr((F^n\sigma)^* \mid H^\heartsuit_{et,c}(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)) = \sum_\lambda \alpha_\lambda \lambda^n, \qquad Tr((F^n\sigma)^* \mid H^\heartsuit_{rig,c}(X/\overline{K})) = \sum_\lambda \beta_\lambda \lambda^n \tag{20}$$

for all $n \geq 0$, where λ runs through the multiplicative group of $\overline{K} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, and where almost all α_λ and almost all β_λ are zero. Comparing (19) and (20) for all $n \geq 1$ shows $\alpha_\lambda = \beta_\lambda$ for all λ, hence (20) for $n = 0$ gives our claim.

(b) This follows from (a) and Poincaré-duality in rigid cohomology since X is smooth. $\square$

Now consider the following subvariety of $\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{P}$:

$$Y^0 = \{g.\mathbb{P} \quad ; \quad g^{-1}F(g) \in \mathbb{P}.F(\mathbb{P})\}.$$

Also Y^0 is smooth ([4] Theorem 7.7) and quasiaffine ([4] Theorem 7.15) and $\mathbb{G}(k)$ acts on it from the left. In fact Y^0 is the quotient of X by the action of $\mathbb{L}(k)^{opp}$ so that $X \to Y^0$ is a Galois covering with group $\mathbb{L}(k)^{opp}$ (see [4] Theorem 7.8).

The initiating paper [6] deals with the case where $\mathbb{L}$ is a torus and $\mathbb{P}$ is a Borel subgroup. Consider the following more specific assumption. Let $\mathbb{B}_0 \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a k-rational Borel subgroup with unipotent radical $\mathbb{U}_0$ and maximal torus $\mathbb{T}_0$. Let S be the set of generating reflections of the Weyl group $W(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{T}_0) = N_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{T}_0)/\mathbb{T}_0$ corresponding to $\mathbb{B}_0$. Let $\dot{} : W(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{T}_0) \to N_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{T}_0)$ be the section which is multiplicative on pairs of elements whose lengths add (see [4] Theorem 7.11). Let $w \in W(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{T}_0)$ be the product of some pairwise commuting elements of S and let $b \in \mathbb{G}$ satisfy $b^{-1}F(b) = \dot{w}$. Then we assume that $\mathbb{U}$ is the b-conjugate of $\mathbb{U}_0$. (Many representation theoretic questions concerning the Deligne-Lusztig functor for general $\mathbb{L} \subset \mathbb{G}$ can be reduced to this standard assumption.) Under this assumption there is a smooth proper $\overline{k}$-scheme Y with $\mathbb{G}(k)$-action and an equivariant open dense immersion $Y^0 \to Y$ such that $Y - Y^0$ is a smooth divisor with normal crossings ([4] Proposition 7.13).

Now we are precisely in the situation considered in remark (2) at the end of section 2 and our results from section 2 (extended as indicated) apply. In section 4 below we will consider the example where $\mathbb{G} = \mathrm{GL}_{d+1}$ and where w is the longest element in the Weyl group. (In this example the Galois group $T = \mathbb{L}(k)$ is cyclic, so we are in the simplified situation considered in the body of sections 1 and 2.)

4 Deligne-Lusztig varieties for GL_{d+1}

We fix $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the affine k-scheme $\mathbb{V}$ associated with $(k^{d+1})^* = \mathrm{Hom}_k(k^{d+1}, k)$. We write it as

$$\mathbb{V} = \mathrm{Spec}(k[\Xi_0, \ldots, \Xi_d])$$

where we let $\Xi_0, \ldots, \Xi_d$ correspond to the canonical basis of $(k^{d+1})^*$. The right action of $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k) = \mathrm{GL}(k^{d+1})$ on $(k^{d+1})^* = \mathrm{Hom}_k(k^{d+1}, k)$ defines a left action of $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$ on $\mathbb{V}$. Explicitly, $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$ acts from the right on the graded ring $k[\Xi_0, \ldots, \Xi_d]$: if $f(\Xi_0, \ldots, \Xi_d) \in k[\Xi_0, \ldots, \Xi_d]$, then

$$g.f(\Xi_0, \ldots, \Xi_d) = f(\sum_{s=0}^{d} a_{s0}\Xi_s, \ldots, \sum_{s=0}^{d} a_{sd}\Xi_s) \qquad \text{for } g^{-1} = (a_{st})_{0 \leq s,t \leq d}. \tag{21}$$

This induces an action from the left of $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$ on $\mathbb{V}$ which passes to an action on

$$Y_0 = \mathbb{P}((k^{d+1})^*) = \mathrm{Proj}(k[\Xi_0, \ldots, \Xi_d]) \cong \mathbb{P}^d_k.$$

For $0 \leq m \leq d-1$ let $\mathcal{V}_0^m$ be the set of all k-rational linear subvarieties Z of Y_0 with $\dim(Z) = m$. The sequence of projective k-varieties

$$Y = Y_{d-1} \longrightarrow Y_{d-2} \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow Y_0$$

is defined inductively by letting $Y_{m+1} \to Y_m$ be the blowing up of Y_m in the strict transforms (in Y_m) of all $Z \in \mathcal{V}_0^m$. Let $\mathcal{V}$ denote the set of all strict transforms in Y of elements of $\mathcal{V}_0^m$ for some m, a set of divisors on Y. The action of $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$ on Y_0 naturally lifts to an action (from the left) of $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$ on Y.

On Y_0 and then by pull back on Y we have the rational functions $z_t = \Xi_t/\Xi_0$ for $0 \leq t \leq d$. Denote by $(\Omega^\bullet_Y, d)$ the de Rham complex on Y with logarithmic poles along the normal crossings divisor $\sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} V$ on Y. We give its open and $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$-stable complement a name,

$$Y^0 = Y - \cup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} V.$$

For $0 \leq s \leq d$ denote by $\mathcal{P}_s$ the set of subsets of $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ consisting of s elements. For $1 \leq j \leq d$ we define the rational function

$$\gamma_j = \prod_{(a_0, \ldots, a_{j-1}) \in k^j} (z_j + a_{j-1} z_{j-1} + \ldots + a_1 z_1 + a_0) \tag{22}$$

on Y, and if in addition $0 \leq s \leq d$ we define the integer

$$m_j^s = \max\{0, s-j\}q - \max\{0, s-j+1\}.$$

Recall the classification of irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)^{opp}$ on k-vector spaces according to Carter and Lusztig. For convenience we drop the superscript *opp* in our notation; thus, the subsequent representations are to be understood as *right* representations. For $1 \leq r \leq d$ let $t_r \in \mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$ denote the permutation matrix obtained by interchanging the $(r-1)$-st and the r-th row (or equivalently: column) of the identity matrix (recall that we start counting with 0). Then $S = \{t_1, \ldots, t_d\}$ is a set of Coxeter generators for the Weyl group of $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$. Let $B(k)$ resp. $U(k)$ denote the subgroup of upper triangular (resp. upper triangular unipotent) matrices.

Theorem 4.1. *[5] (i) For an irreducible representation ρ of $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$ on a k-vector space, the subspace $\rho^{U(k)}$ of $U(k)$-invariants is one dimensional. If the action of $B(k)$ on $\rho^{U(k)}$ is given by the character $\chi : B(k)/U(k) \to k^\times$ and if $J = \{t \in S;\, t.\rho^{U(k)} = \rho^{U(k)}\}$, then the pair (χ, J) determines ρ up to isomorphism.*
(ii) Conversely, given a character $\chi : B(k)/U(k) \to k^\times$ and a subset J of $\{t \in S;\, \chi^t = \chi\}$, there exists an irreducible representation $\Theta(\chi, J)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$ on a k-vector space whose associated pair (as above) is (χ, J).

In [11] we proved the following Theorems 4.2, 4.3, 4.4:

Theorem 4.2. *Let $0 \leq s \leq d$. Then $H^t(Y, \Omega_Y^s) = 0$ for all $t > 0$, and*

$$\dim_k(H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^s)) = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}_s} q^{\sum_{i \in \tau} i}.$$

Moreover, $H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^s)$ is generated (as a k-vector space) by logarithmic differential s-forms.

Theorem 4.3. *For $0 \leq s \leq d$, the $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$-representation on $H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^s)$ is equivalent to $\Theta(1, \{t_{s+1}, \ldots, t_d\})$; it is a generalized Steinberg representation. The subspace of $U(k)$-invariants of $H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^s)$ is generated by*

$$\omega_s = (\prod_{j=1}^{d} \gamma_j^{m_j^s}) dz_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dz_s.$$

Theorem 4.4. *$H^s_{crys}(Y/W)$ is torsion free for any s, and*

$$H^s_{crys}(Y/W) \otimes_W k = H^s(Y, \Omega_Y^\bullet) = H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^s).$$

Let $\mathbb{L}$ be a maximally non split torus in GL_{d+1}. Thus $\mathbb{L}$ is in relative position w to the standard torus $\mathbb{T}_0$ of diagonal matrices where w denotes the permutation matrix with entry 1 at position $(i, d-i)$ for each $0 \leq i \leq d$. It follows that $T = \mathbb{L}(k)$ is (abstractly) isomorphic with the set of fixed points of wF acting on $\mathbb{T}_0(\overline{k})$ if F denotes the standard Frobenius which raises each matrix entry to its q-th power. But this is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(\overline{k})$ consisting of the diagonal matrices $\mathrm{diag}(t, t^q, \ldots, t^{d^d})$ for $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{d+1}}^\times$. Thus we may henceforth identify T with the multiplicative group of the field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{d+1}}$ with q^{d+1} elements. If we let

$$\delta = \det((\Xi_i^{q^j})_{0 \leq i,j \leq d}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\Xi_0, \ldots, \Xi_d]$$

then it is straightforwardly checked that in $k[\Xi_0, \ldots, \Xi_d]$ we have

$$\prod_{a \in k^{d+1} - \{0\}} \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i \Xi_i = (-1)^{d+1} \delta^{q-1}. \tag{23}$$

The Deligne-Lusztig variety as defined in section 3 is the $\overline{k}$-variety

$$X_{\overline{k}} = \mathrm{Spec}(B),$$

$$B = \overline{k}[\Xi_0, \ldots, \Xi_d]/(\delta^{q-1} - (-1)^d).$$

In fact, only for even d this is the explicit formula [6] (2.2.2); however, since (for all d) we have an isomorphism of $\overline{k}$-algebras

$$B \cong \overline{k}[\Xi_0, \ldots, \Xi_d]/(\delta^{q-1} - (-1)^{d+1})$$

(if ξ is a $(q^{d+1}-1)$-st root of -1 in $\overline{k}$, send Ξ_i to $\xi \Xi_i$, for $i = 0, \ldots, d$) our formula is equivalent with [6] (2.2.2) also for odd d. This argument also shows that for *any* d our $X_{\overline{k}}$ decomposes into

$q-1$ connected components, according to the decomposition $\delta^{q-1}-1=\prod_{a\in k^\times}(\delta+a)$. The action of $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$ on $\mathbb{V}$ passes to an action of $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$ on the closed subscheme $X_{\overline{k}}$ of $\mathbb{V}\otimes_k\overline{k}$. Let $z_1,\dots,z_d$ denote free variables, let $z_0=1$ and set

$$\Pi=-\prod_{a\in k^{d+1}-\{0\}}\sum_{i=0}^{d}a_iz_i,$$

$$A=\overline{k}[z_1,\dots,z_d][\Pi^{-1}],$$

$$Y^0_{\overline{k}}=\mathrm{Spec}(A).$$

$Y^0_{\overline{k}}$ is the complement in $\mathbb{P}^d_{\overline{k}}$ of all k-rational linear hyperplanes. Sending z_i to Ξ_i/Ξ_0 we have an isomorphism (use (23))

$$B=A[\Xi_0]/(1-\Xi_0^{q^{d+1}-1}\Pi).$$

In this way we may view A as a subring of B; as such it is stable under the action by $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$. In fact, $X_{\overline{k}}\to Y^0_{\overline{k}}$ is a $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$-equivariant finite étale Galois covering with group T if we let T act on B as follows: on the subring A of B it acts trivially, and on the class of Ξ_0 it acts by multiplication, $h.\Xi_0=h\Xi_0$ for $h\in T$. For $0\le j\le q^{d+1}-2$ we introduce the characters

$$\theta_j:T\to K(\overline{k})^\times,\quad h\mapsto h^j$$

(via the Teichmüller lifting).

Using the same defining equations we see that $X_{\overline{k}}\to Y^0_{\overline{k}}$ is obtained by base change from a morphism of k-schemes $X\to Y^0$. We have the proper smooth k-scheme Y with $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$-action and an equivariant open immersion $Y^0\to Y$ such that $D=Y-Y^0$ is a normal crossings divisor on Y. Thus all the results from sections 1 and 2 are available for $X_{\overline{k}}\to Y^0_{\overline{k}}$ and for $X\to Y^0$ (however the T-action does not descend from $X_{\overline{k}}$ to X). In fact since it is known that rigid cohomology commutes with the base change $k\to\overline{k}$ it follows that the $K(\overline{k})$-vector spaces $H^*_{rig}(X_{\overline{k}})$ and their θ_j-eigenspaces $H^*_{rig}(X_{\overline{k}})_j$ are obtained by base extension $K\to K(\overline{k})$ from the K-vector spaces $H^*_{rig}(X)$ and their subspaces $H^*_{rig}(X)_j$. We point out that the automorphy factors $\gamma_g\in\Gamma(Y,\mathcal{L}_Y(D))$ for $g\in\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$ considered in section 1 are in this case given by

$$\gamma_g=\frac{g(\Xi_0)}{\Xi_0}.$$

The condition from 2.3 (b) that $0\le j\le t-1$ be such that $j\mu_V(\Pi)$ is not divisible by t for all $V\in\mathcal{V}$ becomes the condition that j be not divisible by $\sum_{i=1}^d q^i=(q-1)^{-1}(q^{d+1}-1)$. The θ_j for such j are called *non singular* or *in general position*; equivalently, θ_j does not factor through a norm map $T=\mathbb{F}^\times_{q^{d+1}}\to\mathbb{F}^\times_{q^s}$ for some $s<d+1$.

Since Π is the $(q-1)$-st power of an element in A the isomorphism (10) yields isomorphisms

$$H^*_{rig}(X_{\overline{k}})_j\cong H^*_{rig}(X_{\overline{k}})_{j+\sum_{i=0}^d q^i}.$$

In particular, if θ_j is singular (i.e. not in general position) then

$$H^*_{rig}(X_{\overline{k}})_j\cong H^*_{rig}(X_{\overline{k}})_0=H^*_{rig}(Y^0_{\overline{k}}). \tag{24}$$

Corollary 4.5. *The θ_j-eigenspaces for non singular θ_j in the (compactly supported) rigid and ℓ-adic étale cohomology groups (any $\ell \neq p$) of $X_{\overline{k}}$ are non zero only in degree d, and in degree d they coincide, as $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$-representations on characteristic zero vector spaces of dimension*

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_{K(\overline{k})} H^d_{rig}(X_{\overline{k}})_j &= \sum_{s\geq 0}(-1)^{s+d}\sum_{\tau\in\mathcal{P}_s} q^{\sum_{i\in\tau} i} \\ &= (q-1)(q^2-1)\cdots(q^d-1).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the θ_0-eigenspaces in (compactly supported) rigid and ℓ-adic étale cohomology coincide as $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$-representations, individually in each degree.

Proof: The coincidence of rigid and ℓ-adic étale cohomology as virtual $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)\times T$-representations follows from 3.1. We saw in 2.3 that the θ_j-eigenspaces in (compactly supported) rigid cohomology live only in degree d, and in [6] the same is shown for (compactly supported) ℓ-adic cohomology. Since everything is semisimple we get coincidence. By the proof of 2.3 (a) the vector space dimension is given for *any* j by $\chi(H^*(Y,\Omega^\bullet_Y))$; but this is computed in 4.2. The statement on θ_0-eigenspaces follows by comparing the explicit description from 4.3 with that from [18]. □

Of particular interest for the representation theory of $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$ is the virtual $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$-representation

$$\sum_{s=0}^{d}(-1)^s H^s_{rig}(X_{\overline{k}})_0 = \sum_{s=0}^{d}(-1)^s H^s_{rig}(Y^0_{\overline{k}})$$

(it is called *unipotent*). In view of 4.4 we determined its reduction modulo p in 4.3. By (10) (which yields (24)) the situation for the θ_j-eigenspaces of other singular θ_j is similar.

To compute the reduction modulo p for non singular θ_j the general results from section 2 apply. Alternatively, one might try to understand the finitely generated free W-module

$$H^d_j = H^d_{crys}(Y/W, E(j))/\text{torsion}.$$

H^d_j is a $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$-stable W-lattice in $H^d_{rig}(X_{\overline{k}})_j = H^d_{crys}(Y/W,E(j))\otimes_W K$.

Corollary 4.6. *If θ_j is non singular then $H^d_j\otimes_W k$ is a $\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)$-equivariant subquotient of $H^d(Y,(\Omega^\bullet_Y\otimes\mathcal{L}_Y(D(j)),\nabla_j))$ of k-dimension $(q-1)(q^2-1)\cdots(q^d-1)$. Viewed as an element of the Grothendieck group of $k[\mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(k)]$-modules it coincides with*

$$\sum_{s,m}(-1)^{s+m}H^s(Y,\Omega^m_Y\otimes\mathcal{L}_Y(D(j))).$$

Proof: The k-dimension of $H^d_j\otimes_W k$ is the K-dimension of $H^d_j\otimes_W K$ and this can be read off from Corollary 4.5. We have a natural surjection

$$H^d_{crys}(Y/W,E(j))\otimes_W k \longrightarrow H^d_j\otimes_W k.$$

But $H^d_{crys}(Y/W, E(j))\otimes_W k$ is a subobject of $H^d_{crys}(Y/k, E(j)\otimes_W k) = H^d(Y, (\Omega^\bullet_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}_Y(D(j)), \nabla_j))$, hence the first statement. The second one follows from Theorem 2.2. □

Remark: If $d = 1$ and j is arbitrary (but not divisible by $q+1$), or if $d = 2$ and $1 \leq j \leq p-1$, one can show $H^s(Y, (\Omega^\bullet_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}_Y(D(j)), \nabla_j)) = 0$ for $s \neq d$ and by the usual devissage for crystalline cohomology relative to W/p^n for all n we find $H^d_j = H^d_{crys}(Y/W, E(j))$ and

$$H^d_j \otimes_W k = H^d(Y, (\Omega^\bullet_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}_Y(D(j)), \nabla_j)).$$

The case $d = 2$ and $j = p$ is the first one where $H^{d-1}(Y, (\Omega^\bullet_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}_Y(D(j)), \nabla_j)) \neq 0$ and hence $H^d_j \neq H^d_{crys}(Y/W, E(j))$ and $H^d_j \otimes_W k \neq H^d(Y, (\Omega^\bullet_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}_Y(D(j)), \nabla_j))$ in that case.

References

[1] *P. Berthelot*, Cohomologie rigide et cohomologie rigide à supports propres, Première partie, Prépublication IRMAR 96-03, Université de Rennes (1996)

[2] *P. Berthelot*, Finitude et pureté cohomologique en cohomologie rigide, Invent. Math. **128** (1997), 329–377

[3] *P. Berthelot, A. Ogus*, Notes on crystalline cohomology. Princeton University Press (1978)

[4] *M. Cabanes, M. Enguehard*, Representation theory of finite reductive groups. New Mathematical Monographs, 1. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004)

[5] *R. W. Carter, G. Lusztig*, Modular representations of finite groups of Lie type, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **32** (1976), 347–348

[6] *P. Deligne, G. Lusztig*, Representations of reductive groups over finite fields. Ann. of Math. (2) **103** (1976), no. 1, 103–161.

[7] *F. Digne, J. Michel*, Representations of Finite Groups of Lie Type, London Mathematical Society, Student Texts **21**, Cambridge University Press (1991)

[8] *R.Elkik*, Solutions d'équations à coefficients dans un anneau hensélien, Ann. sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. **6** (1973), no.4, 553-604

[9] *J.Y. Etesse, B. Le Stum* Fonctions L associes aux F-isocristaux surconvergents. I. Interprtation cohomologique, Math. Ann. **296** (1993), no. 3, 557–576.

[10] *E. Große-Klönne*, Rigid analytic spaces with overconvergent structure sheaf, J. reine und angew. Math. **519** (2000), 73–95.

[11] *E. Grosse-Klönne*, Integral structures in the p-adic holomorphic discrete series, Representation theory **9** (2005), 354–384.

[12] *E. Grosse-Klönne*, Equivariant crystalline cohomology and base change, to appear in Proc. AMS, available at www.math.uni-muenster.de/reine/u/klonne/ar/

[13] *B. Haastert, J.C. Jantzen*, Filtrations of the discrete series of $\mathrm{SL}_2(q)$ via crystalline cohomology, J. Algebra **132** (1990), no. 1, 77–103.

[14] *J. C. Jantzen*, Zur Reduktion modulo p der Charaktere von Deligne und Lusztig, J. Algebra **70** (1981), no. 2, 452–474.

[15] *K. Kato*, Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie, Algebraic Analysis, Geometry and Number Theory, J. Hopkins Univ. Press (1989), 191–224

[16] *D. Meredith*, Weak formal schemes, Nagoya Math. J. **45** (1971), 1–38

[17] *B. Le Stum, F. Trihan*, Log-cristaux et surconvergence, Ann. Inst. Fourier **51** (2001), no. 5, 1189–1207.

[18] *M. Rapoport*, Period domains over finite and local fields, Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995, 361–381, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **62**, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

[19] *A. Shiho*, Crystalline fundamental groups. II. Log convergent and rigid cohomology, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **9** (2002), 1–163.